
1. Throughout *Mahogany*, Anderson seeks to bring the social and environmental histories of mahogany into a single narrative. Does she succeed in crafting a story that links the imperial, natural, and cultural aspects of mahogany? Can you point to a particular instance in which these attributes of mahogany coincide? Is this a particularly useful or even necessary method of investigating her topic?

2. What were the environmental consequences of harvesting mahogany, and what impact did this have beyond the forests of the Americas?

3. If mahogany "followed a very different trajectory" (7) than other tropical commodities, is Anderson’s examination simply a unique story or does the story of mahogany contribute to a larger understanding of imperial resource extraction and the commercial/consumer revolution?

4. In today’s society, many of the products we consume are produced using what is now understood to be slave labor. As such, we are typically unaware or unwilling to confront that we are consuming slave-made goods. In an interesting parallel, it seems that most early Americans were not thinking of the bloody cost that their mahogany pieces engendered. What was the role of enslaved Africans in the harvesting of mahogany? Did this surprise you, or perhaps alter the way you look at the wood itself?

5. Why was mahogany so desired? How and why did this change over the course of Anderson’s investigation? Why do you think it is still desired today, and how does that relate to the past?

6. Anderson refers to mahogany’s position as a luxury material as “a cultural construct” (15). What does this mean, and do you buy it? Does it influence how you view what is considered refined or luxurious?

7. This is certainly a book about mahogany, but—like all great historical studies—it has larger implications. What does Anderson, using a particular commodity like mahogany, in fact reveal about life, labor, empire, society, or culture in Early America?

8. On the last page of the book, Anderson perceptively notes that mahogany pieces are not merely “objects of desire” but “also objects of memory” (315). What does she mean by this? Is it important for us to make the stories we tell in museums more representative of the true historical reality, including the often gruesome past of New World slavery?
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